The Kernel Report

Jonathan Corbet corbet@lwn.net

The Plan

A quick review of the kernel development process How it works Current issues of interest

Recent history review What has happened over the last year

Looking forward Wild predictions about future kernels

The kernel release process

Major kernel releases about every 3 months Named 2.6.x 2.6.x.y releases for important fixes Security problems System crashes

Every 2.6.x is a major release New features Internal API changes

Where's 2.7? The old even/odd scheme is no more

The kernel release lifecycle

Week 0: the merge window opens All new features and major changes merged Can be several thousand patches

Week 2: 2.6.x-rc1 is released Merge window closes – no new features (usually) Patch rate remains high – but should all be fixes

Weeks 3-8: additional -rc releases Patch rate slows as bugs get fixed

Week 8: 2.6.x is released 2.6.x.y bug fix releases come later

The kernel release lifecycle

Week 0: the merge window opens All new features and major changes merged Can be several thousand patches

Week 2: 2.6.x-rc1 is released Merge window closes – no new features (usually) Patch rate remains high – but should all be fixes

Weeks 3-8: additional -rc releases Patch rate slows as bugs get fixed

Week 8: 2.6.x is released 2.6.x.y bug fix releases come later

The patch rate

Patching Rate



9,200 lines changed per day

Page 6 of 28

Some statistics

Since 2.6.16 (just over 1 year ago): 30182 changesets merged 2074 developers contributed to the kernel 10 contributed >= 1% of changes 766,000 lines added to the kernel

Who do they work for?

Unknown	25%	SANPeople	1%
Red Hat	14%	SteelEye	1%
Volunteer	12%	Freescale	1%
IBM	8%	Simtec	1%
Novell	4%	Astaro	1%
Qlogic	4%	Linux Foundation	1%
Intel	3%	Atmel	1%
MIPS Tech.	2%	Oracle	1%
MontaVista	2%	HP	1%
Nokia	2%	SGI	1%

The results

The patch flow rate is high New features get to users more quickly Distributor kernels stay closer to the mainline

Relatively predictable kernel releases

Happy distributors, developers, and users ...most of the time

Kernel Quality

"I believe the 2.6 kernel is slowly getting buggier. It seems we're adding bugs at a higher rate than we're fixing them." -- Andrew Morton, May, 2006

Some fear that kernel quality is declining Bugs not getting fixed Too many features added too quickly Too little stabilization time

Kernel developers tend not to agree But everybody agrees fewer bugs would be better

A quick review of the last year

2.6.16 (March 19, 2006)

Mutexes replace semaphores High-resolution timer code OCFS2 cluster filesystem SCHED_BATCH

2.6.17 (June 17, 2006) SPARC Niagara support Lightweight robust futexes User-space software suspend Broadcom 43xx wireless support splice()

Still reviewing last year

2.6.18 (September 19, 2006)

Priority inheritance Generic IRQ layer New core time subsystem Kernel locking validator Devfs gone

2.6.19 (November 29, 2006) Parallel ATA driver subsystem GFS2 cluster filesystem ext4 development filesystem eCryptfs

The current kernel

2.6.20 (February 4, 2007) Fault injection framework Many big internal API changes UDP-Lite protocol paravirt_ops Kernel virtual machine (KVM) Playstation 3 support

Looking forward

Predicting the kernel's future is hard No five-year roadmaps No ability to force work from anybody No limits on what people might come up with

I won't let that stop me I can handwave with the best

How does one proceed? Look at work in progress now Look at pressures from the outside world Make some wild guesses

Woe to anybody who actually believes what follows...

The next kernel

2.6.21 (any day now)

What's going in? Dynamic tick and clockevents Major ACPI update Sysfs shadow directories ALSA system-on-chip layer Device resource management API VMI virtualization interface KVM improvements (live migration)

Virtualization

Still an area of high interest Server consolidation High-reliability systems Isolation and security

The big players

Xen Full paravirtualization Path into the kernel has been slow User-mode Linux Run Linux as a user-mode process Longstanding Linux project Various commercial offerings

The biggest development issue: A common hypervisor interface

Virtualization developments

paravirt_ops

The common hypervisor interface Isolates low-level operations Run-time substitution via "hypervisor ROM" Remains a highly volatile interface

VMI

Higher-level hypervisor interface

Kernel Virtual Machine Support for hardware virtualization Open /dev/kvm, create CPUs with ioctl(), launch systems A full virtualization solution ...but paravirtualization being done too

Lguest (aka Rustyvisor) A simple native Linux virtualization mechanism

Containers

A lighter-weight approach to virtualization

No full emulation of the processor Containers run as process groups on host All containers run on the host kernel

Containers are isolated from each other Can't see other processes

Containers

There are a number of container projects Linux-VServer OpenVZ Various proprietary offerings

All have the same needs Multiple views of global resources Per-container resource usage control

Most of them want into the kernel But multiple implementations are unwelcome

The projects are talking to each other Some early code bits have been merged Big issues: resource management, networking, ...

CPU schedulers

Scheduling has been quiet for some time Worst problems solved in early 2.6.x

The issue has come back Better interactive response wanted Dump complex heuristics for simple fairness

Three contenders Staircase Deadline Completely Fair Scheduler Nicksched

CFS looks to be the likely winner ...but expect some debate first

Fibrils / syslets / threadlets / ...

Asynchronous I/O is a perennial pain State-machine approach difficult to implement, maintain

Fibrils: a new approach If something blocks, keep running in a new process Makes *any* system call asynchronous

Syslets

Variant of fibrils Applications can load code into the kernel

Threadlets On-demand threading Simple API

Filesystems

Pressures

Disks are getting bigger – quickly They are getting faster much less quickly The time to read the entire disk is growing They are not getting more reliable Some filesystem limits are being reached

How long does it take to run fsck? Kernel.org RAID: over 1 week

Current filesystems have a long history

"We're continuing to nurse along a few basically-15-yearold filesystems while we do have the brains, manpower, and processes to implement a new, really great one." --Andrew Morton

Filesystems – what's coming

ext4

Currently a development-only filesystem Extents 48-bit block numbers (break the 8TB limit)

Reiser4

A number of interesting new ideas Still stalled – won't be in 2.6.22 either Future is now in serious doubt

Hardware support

Hardware support is better than ever Most hardware Just Works No driver disks, no hassles Linux supports more hardware than any other system, ever

There are exceptions Wireless networking Video adapters

The problem Vendors will not release free drivers ...or programming information

Why not release information?

"It's so hard to write a graphics driver that opensourcing it would not help." -- Andrew Fear, Nvidia software product manager

Other issues Patent problems Regulatory issues They just plain don't get it

Wireless networking

Wireless has traditionally been poorly supported Few drivers Suboptimal network stack design

The mac80211 (formerly Devicescape) stack A proper 802.11 networking stack Slowly making its way toward the mainline

New drivers Broadcom 43xx Atheros Now cleared of legal clouds Intel Well supported by the vendor

Video adapters

Video vendors remain stubborn

Intel the biggest exception Still short on programming information Integrated controllers only – for now

Nvidia

The Nouveau project is moving forward nouveau.freedesktop.org Some ground to cover yet

ATI

R300 driver is getting good Little hope for newer chipsets

Binary-only drivers

Some vendors do provide proprietary drivers

Some problems:

Only work with specific kernel versions Unknown security problems No hope for fixing bugs No support for other architectures Long-term support is dubious Can impede development Questionable legality

Linux cannot give in to binary-only drivers That way leads to the end of our free system

Networking

Network channels

Presented by Van Jacobson at Ica 2006 Push network processing to the end points ...even into user space Progress is slow

Needed: an event reporting API Unify application event loops Improve high-bandwidth application performance

The new eventfd system calls: Get a file descriptor for interesting events Timers, signals, etc. Wait for them in the poll() loop

The kevent mechanism Seemingly superseded by eventfd

Security

SELinux: The one true security framework? Becoming more comprehensive (packet labeling) Higher-level admin tools

AppArmor

Pushed by Novell/SUSE Much simpler administration Unpopular with developers – use of pathnames New patch set posted (finally)

SLIM, EVM, and friends

Use the TPM for integrity management Can be used for high security – or lockdown Slow path into kernel

Real time

The realtime preemption patch set Claims 20 µsec deterministic response time Large invasive patch set

Much of it has already been merged Robust futexes, priority inheritance, mutexes core timekeeping, high-resolution timers, ...

Some pieces remain Sleeping spinlocks Interrupt handlers in kernel threads Dynamic tick

Small and embedded systems

Much is happening in small systems Telephones Tablet systems OLPC

Running Linux there presents different challenges Minimal resource use Real-time response Fast boot

Lots of people are working in this area But cooperation is often lacking Little participation in the development process Proprietary hardware Things are getting better – maybe

Licensing and GPLv3

Version 3 of the GPL is still in draft form Final version due in June

Relatively unpopular in kernel circles The anti-DRM provisions in particular

The kernel is explicitly licensed under GPLv2 The "or any later version" language is missing

Changing the license would be hard Hundreds of copyright holders Achieving a consensus is unlikely Even finding them all would be hard

Thus: A GPLv3-licensed kernel is unlikely

Questions?

Slides at http://lwn.net/talks/elc2007/

The user-space API

The user-space API used to be simple System calls

Now it is more complicated *Lots* of system calls /proc (100's of files) /sys (1000's of files) Netlink

Breaking this API is against the rules

But it is happening anyway Such a wide interface is easy to break Sysfs directly mirrors internal data structures These APIs are still evolving

Scalability

Today's big iron is tomorrow's laptop Supporting 1GB of memory was once a big deal

The current state of the art 512-processor NUMA systems work well Getting larger Getting to 4K will take some work

The scalability effort continues Shrinking data structures Lockless algorithms

Questions to ask

Is there really a problem?

If so, what is to be done about it?

What to do about it?

Regardless of whether kernel bugs are getting worse ... it would be nice to have fewer of them

More testing is needed By users!

Better bug tracking Special tracking for regressions

Better bug fixing Fixing bugs can be hard work No access to the hardware – unable to reproduce the problem Developer discipline can be lacking Known bugs often remain unfixed.

What to do about it?

Make bugs harder to introduce Better internal APIs Better automated tools Locking validator Sparse Fault injection framework Memory leak tracker

Stabilization releases

Reserve occasional 2.6.x releases for bug fixes Seems to be a hard sell